

ICIMOD



Surendra R Joshi
15 May 2020

RE Technologies for Agriculture

Outline of the presentation

- ICIMOD and Hindu Kush Himalaya
- RE and agriculture nexus
- RE Technologies – ICIMOD's piloting on SPIPs



Among the world's most important global resources, the HKH—Hindu Kush Himalaya—water tower for Asia






**The pulse of
the planet.**



Water-energy- agriculture nexus




Water-energy-agriculture interdependent




The multiple and competing uses for water, energy and food production mean there are important trade-offs that should be considered, often between sectors that are not coordinated

Total Final Energy Consumption (TFEC) by Sector (2016)


Afghanistan
TFEC = 133 PJ




Bangladesh
TFEC = 1,400 PJ




Bhutan
TFEC = 65 PJ




China
TFEC = 75,919 PJ




India
TFEC = 26,661 PJ




Myanmar
TFEC = 706 PJ



Nepal
TFEC = 529 PJ



Pakistan
TFEC = 2,808 PJ



- Non-energy use
- Agriculture, forestry, fishing
- Other consumers

- Industry
- Commerce, public services

- Transport
- Households

Agriculture in Nepal – some facts

68% population derive livelihoods from agriculture

34% contribution to the GDP

4.6 million food-insecure people

40% children younger than five years of age are stunted

1.4 million malnourished pregnant and lactating women, & **48%** suffer from anemia

Only 1.1 million of the 3.5 million hectares of cultivated land (31%) is irrigated


50% irrigation is through surface irrigation schemes which are mostly fed by small and medium-sized rivers – with high fluctuation in water volume

1.18 million ha of land that could be irrigated still supports only rainfed agriculture

Challenge is to feed more people with less land and more uncertain conditions



RETs for agriculture – scope for irrigation



Land to be irrigated

- Terai – 41%
- Hills – 71%
- Mountains – 74%

Annual recharge of ground water in the Terai is 8.8 BCM, but less than a quarter of this is currently extracted

6.9 BCM groundwater could be used for irrigation

On average 5000–10,000 m³ /ha of water is required to cultivate cereal crops in South Asia



Solar powered irrigation pumps



Piloting – Solar powered irrigation pumps

Research questions:

- Can SPIP replace traditional irrigation pumps in a clean & cost effective way
- What are impacts of SPIPs on livelihoods – farmers income, crop productivity, cropping pattern
- What are impacts of SPIPs adoption in atmospheric pollution

How and where?

- 3-pilots with institutional variation: 1) women farmers using small diesel pumps; 2) Cooperative using large diesel pumps, 3) Men using electric pumps
- Saptari district – largest area under vegetable production, widespread use of diesel pumps, least HHs level electrification among all terai
- Partners – Atom Solar for pumps, ICIMOD, Harvard, GWU for impact assessment, support from DFAT, CGIAR-WLE



Key findings

Comparative study of farmers who have adopted SPIPs and farmers who have not (but statistically similar to the former in all observable ways).

- SPIPs reduce reliance on electric and diesel pumps
- Replacement of diesel pumps reduced black carbon emissions
- Assured access to irrigation has increased crop diversification, nutrition, and incomes.
- SPIP has made it less physically intensive allowing woman farmers to operate it comfortably

Efficiency of solar powered pumps

A solar powered pump irrigates 3.7 hectares of land where seasonal vegetables are grown year round (Hardiya, Saptari)

On an average, the pump's output is 80,000–90,000 litres per day.

On a sunny day, one-HP pump can discharge up to 16000 litres per hour and irrigate up to two and a half hectares of land.



Efficiency of SPIPs –

combination of water storage ponds, drip & sprinkle





Replication and out-scaling of SPIPs

Udayapur, Nepal

Passu and Moorkhon in Pakistan

Mobile SPIPs in Pakistan

Economic viability of SPIPs for apple orchard


Criteria	Value
Net present value of SPIP	21 million PKR
Internal rate of return	31%
Benefit-cost ratio	4.96
Payback Period	10.92

Changing energy needs & Covid-19 context

Rapid urbanization – aspirations of youths

Changing farming/business practices – towards mechanisation

Productive use to catalyse socio-economic development – green products





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/rser



Productive use of energy – pathway to development

Productive use of energy – Pathway to development? Reviewing the outcomes and impacts of small-scale energy projects in the global south



Julia Terrapon-Pfaff*, Marie-Christine Gröne, Carmen Dienst, Willington Ortiz

Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy, Döppersberg 19, 42103 Wuppertal, Germany

Small-scale energy projects can lead to productive uses, which result in positive outcomes/impacts for local living conditions



From 12,000kg
in 2015 to
40,000 kg in
2016




Productive use of energy – cont...



The use of RETs for agricultural VC means

- More value-added activities (grinding, milling, drying, storage)
- Reduced post harvest losses by providing heat and power for food preservation (drying, chilling and freezing)
- Better processing to translate products into stronger domestic enterprises for crops, fruits and spices, refrigeration, ice making, cold storage and drying.

Ecosystem to promote RETs for agriculture



Resilient enterprises



Entrepreneurial orientation

- Anticipate and plan for change
- Innovative business development
- Product diversification



Market orientation

- Improved productivity
- Increased production
- Business expansion
- New (green) enterprise development



Profitability

- Improved return on investment



Contribution to local economy

- Increased household income, health benefits
- Green job creation
- Sustainable use of natural resources
- Mitigation benefits

Thank you

Protect the pulse.

